



Theory of Gradient Separations: Example: Peptides

- Why do we use gradients?



Theory of Gradient Separations: Example: Peptides

- Why do we use gradients?



Why do we use gradients?...


...because...

- Properties of analytes
 - ▶ Retention (k) of the solutes has a steep dependence on the % organic in the mobile phase
 - ▶ Wide range of differing hydrophobicities of the analytes

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Why do we use gradients?

The retention (k) of peptides have a steep dependence on the % organic in the mobile phase



Outline

- Introduction
- Theory of Gradient Separations of Peptides
- Optimization of Separations to...
 - ▶ achieve maximum resolution
 - ▶ maximize throughput
 - ▶ maximize reproducibility
- Assay Reproducibility
- Conclusion

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Calculation of Isocratic Mobile Phase

k-Dependence on Mobile Phase Composition in Reversed-Phase HPLC:

$$\ln(k) = \ln(k_0) - S \cdot F$$

Gradient Retention Times:

$$t_G = t_0 + t_d + \frac{1}{b \times S} \times \ln \left(b \times t_0 \times k(F) + 1 \right)$$

Labels for the equation above:


- t_0 : Column dead time
- t_d : System delay time
- $\frac{1}{b \times S}$: Gradient slope
- $b \times t_0 \times k(F) + 1$: k at gradient starting composition
- S : Slope of $\ln k$ vs. organic

Basic Resolution Equation

-Factors Influencing Resolution for an Isocratic Separation

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{w} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{k}{k + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

R_s = Resolution
 N = Plate Count
 t = Retention Time
 w = Average peak width
 α = Selectivity Factor
 k = Retention Factor



© 1998 Waters Corporation

Resolution Equations

-Factors Influencing Resolution for an Isocratic Separation

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{w} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\frac{a - 1}{a}}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{k}{k + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

R_s = Resolution
 t = Retention time
 w = Peak width
 N = Plate Count
 a = Selectivity Factor
 k = Retention Factor

-Factors Influencing Resolution for a Gradient Separation

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{w} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln a}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{bct_0 + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$


B = Slope of $\ln(k)$ with solvent composition (an analyte dependent property)
 c = Gradient Slope
 t_0 = Time of elution for an unretained peak

-Factors are similar, however...

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Analyte Retention as a Function of Gradient Slope

- k (retention) for each analyte changes independently as the gradient slope changes.
- Thus, the resolution between peaks changes.



Carmody

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - ▶ **Gradient Slope; c**
 - Column Length; L and N
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - Concentration and Type of Modifier
 - Temperature
 - Chemistry and Pore Size of the Packing Material
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - Column
 - HPLC system

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Factors Influencing Resolution in Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention

▶ **Gradient Slope; c (%B/min.) - increase in organic concentration per unit time**

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{w} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{Bc t_0 + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient Slope?


$$c = \%B/\text{minute} = \frac{\Delta\%}{t_g}$$

■ Two ways to change the slope

- ▶ change the percent organic (D %) of the mobile phase across a specified gradient run time.
- ▶ change the gradient run time (t_g) while keeping the Δ% organic of the mobile phase constant.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Principle of Gradient Separations



© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c (%B/min.) - varied by changing the % organic across a specified gradient run time. All other variables are kept constant.


$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{W} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{Bct_0 + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

\downarrow
 $t_g = \text{gradient run time}$
 \downarrow
 $B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_g} \cdot t_0 + 1$

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Resolution as a Function of Gradient Slope

-Slope of the gradient = 0.66%/min



Conditions

- Column: Symmetry300™, C₁₈, 5 μm, 3.9 x 150 mm
- Sample: Tryptic digests of bovine cytochrome c
- Injection: 20 μL
- Mobile Phase: Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in water Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- Gradient: 0-45 min., 0-30%B
- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.
- Temperature: 35 °C
- Detection: 214 nm


Alden

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Resolution as a Function of Gradient Slope

Conditions


0.66%/min



Rs (btw. 8 and 9) = 2.0
Rs (btw. 9 and 10) = 5.4
Rs (btw. 10 and 11) = 6.9

- Column: Symmetry300™, C₁₈, 5 μm, 3.9 x 150 mm
- Sample: Tryptic digests of bovine cytochrome c
- Injection: 20 μL
- Mobile Phase: Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in water Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- Detection: 214 nm
- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.
- Temperature: 35 °C


0.62%/min (0-28%)



Rs (btw. 8 and 9) = 2.3
Rs (btw. 9 and 10) = 6.0
Rs (btw. 10 and 11) = 6.8

Alden


0.71%/min (0-35%)



Rs (btw. 8 and 9) = 1.7
Rs (btw. 9 and 10) = 4.7
Rs (btw. 10 and 11) = 6.8

Analyte Retention as a Function of Gradient Slope

- k (retention) for each analyte changes independently as the gradient slope changes.
- Thus, the resolution between peaks changes.



Carmody

© 1998 Waters Corporation


What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c (%B/min.) - varied by changing the gradient run time. All other variables are kept constant.

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{W} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_g} \cdot t_0 + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Resolution as a Function of Gradient Duration



Conditions


- Column: Symmetry300™, C₁₈, 5 μm, 4.6 x 150 mm
- Sample: Tryptic digests of bovine serum albumin
- Injection: 20 μL
- Mobile Phase: Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in water, Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- Gradient: 0-45 min., 0-30%B
- Detection: 214 nm
- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.
- Temperature: 35 °C

- Longer RT; Shallower the slope; Increases R_s .

- $R_s \sim 1/c$ where c = gradient slope. All other variables are kept constant


Alden © 1998 Waters Corporation

Gradient Modifications: Initial ACN% in Peptide Separations



Gradient SLOPE is more important if peaks elute during gradient (not in initial condition)

-By changing the initial mobile phase conditions, but keeping the gradient slope the same, the run time can effectively be shortened without a loss in resolution.



Alden


© 1998 Waters Corporation

Summary of Part I - Gradient Slope

- Gradient Slope is one of the most powerful operational parameter you have at your disposal
 - Resolution increases as gradient slope decreases.
 - Change in the initial percent organic can decrease the run time, maintain the resolution of your separation and preserve your elution pattern.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Volumes in an HPLC System



© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - Concentration and Type of Modifier
 - Temperature
 - Chemistry and Pore Size of the Packing Material
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - Column
 - HPLC system

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{W} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_0} \cdot t_0 + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

$$\frac{1}{B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_0} \cdot e_i \cdot pr^2 \cdot L/F + 1}$$

© 1998 Waters Corporation

The Number of Column Volumes per Minute Impacts Resolution


- 2 Approaches:
 - Approach 1: scale gradient volume in proportion to the column volume (such as change the gradient run time with the column length).
 - Approach 2: do not scale the gradient volume in proportion to the column volume (such as keep the gradient run time constant while changing the column length).

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Gradient Operation


Column Volume to Gradient Volume Relationship (Approach 1)

-Gradient volume scaled to column volume




Column volume = 0.5 mL
5 minute gradient @ 1 mL/min

gradient volume = $t_r \times f.r. = 5$
Total volume = $g.v./c.v. = 10$ column vols.



Column volume = 2.5 mL
25 minute gradient @ 1 mL/min

gradient volume = $t_r \times f.r. = 25$
Total volume = $g.v./c.v. = 10$ column vols.



© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention

- Gradient Slope; c
- Column Length; L and N




$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{W} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_r} \cdot \epsilon_t \cdot \pi r^2 \cdot L/F + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

L (column length) is varied. Gradient volume is scaled in proportion to the column volume.

Terms are constant

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Variation in Column Lengths at Equal Ratio of Gradient Volumes to Column Volumes



Alden

Conditions
- Column: Symmetry300™, C₁₈, 5 μm
- Sample: Tryptic digests of bovine serum albumin
- Injection: 20 μL
- Mobile Phase:
Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in water
Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- 0-30%B in the time shown.
- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.
- Detection: 214 nm
- Temperature: 35 °C

- Elution pattern stays the same.


- Resolution inc. as the # of plates inc.

- Run time inc. as column length inc.

© 1998 Waters Corporation



Column Volume to Gradient Volume Relationship (Approach 2)

-Gradient volume not scaled to column volume



Column volume = 0.5 mL
5 minute gradient @ 1 mL/min

gradient volume = $t_r \times f.r. = 5$
Total volume = $g.v./c.v. = 10$ column vols.



Column volume = 2.5 mL
5 minute gradient @ 1 mL/min

gradient volume = $t_r \times f.r. = 5$
Total volume = $g.v./c.v. = 2$ column vols.



© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...


Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention

- Gradient Slope; c
- Column Length; L and N

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{W} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \frac{1}{\underbrace{B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_R} \cdot \epsilon_t \cdot \pi r^2 \cdot L/F + 1}_{\text{Retention}}}$$

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Column Length Effects on Resolution at a Constant Gradient Duration



Conditions

- Sample: Tryptic digests of bovine cytochrome c
- Injection: 20 μL
- Mobile Phase: Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in water
- Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- Gradient: 0-45 min, 0-30%B
- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.
- Detection: 214 nm
- Temperature: 35 °C


-Will observe elution pattern changes.

-Resolution changes

-Run time remains the same.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Column Length Effects on Resolution at a Constant Gradient Duration (cont'd)



Conditions

- Sample: Tryptic digests of bovine serum albumin
- Injection: 20 μL (7 μL for 4.6 X 50 mm)
- Mobile Phase: Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in water
- Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- Gradient: 0-45 min, 0-30%B
- Temperature: 35 °C
- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.
- Detection: 214 nm

-50 mm column has a similar resolving power as 250 mm column if the gradient duration remains the same.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Summary Part I - Column Length

- If the gradient volume is scaled proportionally to the column volume
 - elution pattern does not change
 - resolution increases with column length.
- If the gradient volume is not scaled in proportion to the column volume
 - elution pattern and resolution changes.
 - 50 mm column exhibits similar resolving power to a 250 mm column.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N_i
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - Concentration and Type of Modifier
 - Temperature
 - Chemistry and Pore Size of the Packing Material
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - Column
 - HPLC system

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...


- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N
 - Flow Rate; F

$$R_s = \frac{\Delta t}{w} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4}}_{\text{Efficiency}} \times \underbrace{\ln \alpha}_{\text{Selectivity}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{B \cdot \frac{\Delta\%}{t_0} \cdot \epsilon \cdot \pi r^2 \cdot L/F + 1}}_{\text{Retention}}$$

■ F (flow rate) is varied. All other variables are kept constant


© 1998 Waters Corporation

Resolution as a Function of Flow Rate at a Constant Gradient Duration (50 mm Column)



© 1998 Waters Corporation

Flow Rate Effects on Resolution at a Constant Gradient Duration



© 1998 Waters Corporation

Summary of Part I - Flow Rate

- Maximum resolution is achieved at an optimal flow rate:
 - ▶ As flow rate changes N changes
 - ▶ As flow rate changes the elution pattern changes.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - ▶ **Concentration and Type of Modifier**
 - Temperature
 - Chemistry and Pore Size of the Packing Material
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - Column
 - HPLC system

© 1998 Waters Corporation


Type of Modifiers

- Solvation
- Ionization
- Ion-pairing
- Volatility (Collection)

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Effects of TFA Concentration on Resolution

- Typical gradient conditions



Alden

© 1998 Waters Corporation


Gradient Operation

The Power of Different TFA Concentrations in Your Mobile Phase

- 0.05% TFA in solvents A and B


- 0.1% TFA in solvents A and B

- 0.2% TFA in solvents A and B



© 1998 Waters Corporation

The Power of Different TFA Concentrations in Your Mobile Phase



Conditions

- Column: Symmetry300™, C₁₈ 5 μm, 3.9x150mm

- Sample: Tryptic Digests of Bovine Cytochrome c

- Injection: 20 μL

- Mobile Phase:
Solvent A: water
Solvent B: acetonitrile

- Gradient: 0-45 min., 0-30%B

- Flow rate: 0.75 mL/min.


- Temperature: 35 °C

- Detection: 214 nm

Alden

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Alternate Ion Pairing Reagents TFA and HFBA (Heptafluorobutyric Acid)



Sample: Rabbit cytochrome c
tryptic digest, 500 pmol

Column: Delta-Pak™ C₁₈ 5 μm,
300Å, 2.0 x 150 mm

Eluents: A=water/ 0.1% TFA or
HFBA
B=acetonitrile/ 0.1%
TFA or HFBA

Gradient: 0-60 % B 120 min

Flow: 0.18 mL/min

Temp: 35 °C

© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - Concentration and Type of Modifier
 - Temperature
 - Chemistry and Pore Size of the Packing Material
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - Column
 - HPLC system


© 1998 Waters Corporation

Temperature Effects on Resolution

- Resolution is temperature dependent
- Temperature is a critical parameter to control in order to achieve reproducible separations.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Temperature Effects on Resolution




What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - Concentration and Type of Modifier
 - Temperature
 - **Pore Size and Chemistry of the Packing Material**
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - Column
 - HPLC system


© 1998 Waters Corporation

Pore Size Effects on Resolution



Selectivity Differences Between Packings

Alberta Peptides on Symmetry® Reversed-Phase Columns



© 1998 Waters Corporation

What Factors Influence Gradient RP-HPLC Separations...

- Part I - Factors influencing efficiency and retention
 - Gradient Slope; c
 - Column Length; L and N_i
 - Flow Rate; F
- Part II - Factors influencing selectivity
 - Concentration and Type of Modifier
 - Temperature
 - Chemistry and Pore Size of the Packing Material
- Part III - Factors influencing reproducibility
 - ▶ Column
 - ▶ HPLC system

© 1998 Waters Corporation


Peptide Mapping Validation -Robustness Testing

- Choice and quality of enzyme
- Digestion conditions
- HPLC conditions
- Equipment
 - ▶ System
 - ▶ Column

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Effects of Irreproducible Gradient Delivery -Traditional HPLC System


Experience resolution differences and retention time shifts



© 1998 Waters Corporation

Waters 2690 Separations Module


Gradient Accuracy & Precision



© 1998 Waters Corporation

Waters 2690 Separations Module

System Volume



© 1998 Waters Corporation


Reproducible Gradient Delivery

-Waters Alliance™ HPLC System

-Overlay of 15 Consecutive Injections

Conditions

Column: Symmetry300™ C₁₈, 5 μm, 3.9 x 150 nm
Sample: 20 μL of bovine, cytochrome c (tryptic digest)
Flow rate: 1 mL/min.
Temperature: 35 °C
Linear gradient: 0 - 28%B in 45 min.
Mobile phase: A: 0.05% TFA in water
B: 0.1% TFA in Methanol




Alden

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Reproducibility of Complex Gradients

AccQ-Tag? Amino Acid Analysis



© 1998 Waters Corporation

Complex Gradient Conditions:

- Column: AccQ Tag™ Column, 3.9 x 150 mm
- Eluent A: AccQ Tag™ Eluent A
- Eluent B: Acetonitrile
- Eluent C: Water
- Flow Rate: 1.0 mL/min
- Column Temp.: 37 °C
- Detection: Fluorescence, $k_{ex} = 250$ nm, $k_{em} = 395$ nm
- Sample: 50 pmol Hydrolysate Standard
- Sample Temp.: 5 °C
- Gradient:

Time	Flow	%A	%B	%C	%D	Curve
0.00	1.00	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
0.50	1.00	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	11
18.0	1.00	95.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	6
19.0	1.00	91.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	6
29.5	1.00	83.0	17.0	0.0	0.0	6
33.0	1.00	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	11
36.0	1.00	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11
65.0	1.00	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	11
100.0	0.00	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Why is Column Reproducibility Important?

- Validated RP-HPLC assays require HPLC columns to be reproducible from column-to-column and batch-to-batch.
- Columns which perform reproducibly in terms of selectivity and separation characteristics from batch-to-batch ensures reliable, reproducible and robust assays over the life of the product.

© 1998 Waters Corporation

"Consideration must be given to column-to-column variation attributed to production differences from a recommended manufacturer. Column-to-column variability was recently bemoaned as the 'Achilles heel' in the HPLC of protein pharmaceuticals (20). Although this problem has been both ignored by many and overstated by others, peptide mapping does place very high demands on column performance. Thus, column-to-column variability is of special concern (21,22)."

Garnick et al. *Biologicals* (1996) 24, 255-275


© 1998 Waters Corporation

Column-to-Column Reproducibility

- Employed 8 different Symmetry300™, C₁₈, 5 μm columns from the same batch (#107)
- Conducted evaluation over a 3-week time period
- Different mobile phase preparations for each run

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Symmetry300™ Batch-to-Batch Reproducibility



Conditions

- Column: Symmetry300™, C₁₈, 5 µm, 3.9x150mm
- Sample: Tryptic digests of cytochrome c (bovine)
- Injection: 20 µL
- Mobile Phase:
Solvent A: 0.05% TFA in water
Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile
- Gradient: 0-45 min., 0-28%B
- Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min.
- Temperature: 35 °C
- Detection: 220 nm

- Instrument:
Waters Alliance™
HPLC system

Costello

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Conclusion

- There are several factors to assess when developing/optimizing a gradient RP-HPLC method.
 - ▶ Primary tools for gradient optimization are gradient slope, column length and modifier type.
 - ▶ Secondary tools to be used for fine tuning your gradient are temperature, modifier concentration and flow rate.

© 1998 Waters Corporation


Conclusions (continued)

- For Rugged/Robust gradient method development consider...
 - ▶ System performance
 - reproducibility of gradient delivery
 - system delay volume
 - ▶ Column performance
 - column-to-column reproducibility
 - batch-to-batch reproducibility

© 1998 Waters Corporation

Acknowledgments:

- Bonnie Alden
- Ray Crowley
- Uwe Neue
- Tom Walter
- Ray Fisk
- Dave Costello
- Edouard Bouvier



...and Waters' Symmetry® Team

© 1998 Waters Corporation